

# Lower bounds on frequency estimation of data streams

Sumit Ganguly\*

Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur

**Abstract.** We consider a basic problem in the general data streaming model, namely, to estimate a vector  $f \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  that is arbitrarily updated (i.e., incremented or decremented) coordinate-wise. The estimate  $\hat{f} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  must satisfy  $\|\hat{f} - f\|_\infty \leq \epsilon \|f\|_1$ , that is,  $\forall i$  ( $|\hat{f}_i - f_i| \leq \epsilon \|f\|_1$ ). It is known to have  $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$  randomized space upper bound [4],  $\Omega(\epsilon^{-1} \log(\epsilon n))$  space lower bound [2] and deterministic space upper bound of  $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$  bits.<sup>1</sup> We show that any deterministic algorithm for this problem requires space  $\Omega(\epsilon^{-2}(\log \|f\|_1))$  bits.

## 1 Introduction

A data stream  $\sigma$  over the domain  $[1, n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is modeled as a sequence of records of the form  $(pos, i, \delta v)$ , where,  $pos$  is the current sequence index,  $i \in [1, n]$  and  $\delta v \in \{+1, -1\}$ . Here,  $\delta v = 1$  signifies an insertion of an instance of  $i$  and  $\delta v = -1$  signifies a deletion of an instance of  $i$ . For each data item  $i \in [1, n]$ , its frequency  $(\text{freq } \sigma)_i$  is defined as  $\sum_{(pos, i, \delta v) \in \text{stream}} \delta v$ . The *size* of  $\sigma$  is defined as  $|\sigma| = \max\{\|\text{freq } \sigma'\|_\infty \mid \sigma' \text{ prefix of } \sigma\}$ . In this paper, we consider the *general stream model*, where, the  $n$ -dimensional frequency vector  $\text{freq } \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . The data stream model of processing permits online computations over the input sequence using sub-linear space. The data stream computation model has proved to be a viable model for a number of application areas, such as network monitoring, databases, financial data processing, etc..

We consider the problem APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ): given a data stream  $\sigma$ , return  $\hat{f}$ , such that  $\text{err}(\hat{f}, \text{freq } \sigma) \leq \epsilon$ , where, the function  $\text{err}$  is given by (1). Equivalently, the problem may be formulated as: given  $i \in [1, n]$ , return  $\hat{f}_i$  such that  $|\hat{f}_i - (\text{freq } \sigma)_i| \leq \epsilon \cdot \|\text{freq } \sigma\|_1$ , where,  $\|f\|_1 = \sum_{i \in [1, n]} |f_i|$ .

$$\text{err}(\hat{f}, f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\|\hat{f} - f\|_\infty}{\|f\|_1} \leq \epsilon. \quad (1)$$

The problem APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ) is of fundamental interest in data streaming applications. For general streams, this problem is known to have a space lower bound of  $\Omega(\epsilon^{-1} \log(n\epsilon))$  [2], a randomized space upper bound of  $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$  [4], and a deterministic space upper bound of  $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$  bits [7]. For insert-only streams (i.e.,  $\text{freq } \sigma \geq 0$ ), there exist deterministic algorithms that use  $O((\epsilon^{-1})(\log(mn)))$  space [5, 11, 12]; however extensions of these algorithms to handle deletions in the stream are not known.

*Mergeability.* Data summary structures for summarizing data streams for frequency dependent computations (e.g., approximate frequent items, frequency moments, etc.; formally defined in Section 2) typically exhibit the property of *arbitrary mergeability*. If  $D$  is a data structure for processing a stream and  $D_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$  for  $k$  arbitrary, be the respective current state of the structure after processing streams  $S_j$ , then, there exists a simple operation *Merge* such that  $\text{Merge}(D_1, \dots, D_k)$  reconstructs the state of  $D$  that would be obtained by

\* This is the full version of the paper with the same title in Proceedings of the Third International Computer Science Symposium in Russia (CSR-2008).

<sup>1</sup> The  $\tilde{O}$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  notations suppress poly-logarithmic factors in  $n, \log \epsilon^{-1}, \|f\|_\infty$  and  $\log \delta^{-1}$ , where,  $\delta$  is the error probability (for randomized algorithm).

processing the union of streams  $S_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . For randomized summaries, this might require initial random seeds to be shared. Thus, a summary of a distributed stream can be constructed from the summaries of the individual streams, followed by the *Merge* operation. Almost all known data streaming structures are arbitrarily mergeable, including, sketches [1], COUNTSKETCH [3], COUNT-MIN sketches [4], Flajolet-Martin sketches [6] and its variants,  $k$ -set[8], CR-precis structure [7] and random subset sums [10]. In this paper, we ask the question, namely, when are stream summaries mergeable?

*Contributions.* We present a space lower bound of  $\Omega(\epsilon^{-2}(\log m)) - O(\log n)$  bits for any *deterministic uniform* algorithm  $A_n$  for the problem APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ) over input streams of size  $m$  over the domain  $[1, n]$ , where,  $1/(24\sqrt{n}) \leq \epsilon \leq 1/32$ . The uniformity is in the sense that  $A_n$  must be able to solve APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ) for all *general* input streams over the domain  $[1, n]$ . The lower bound implies that the CR-precis structure [7] is nearly space-optimal for APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ), up to poly-logarithmic factors. The uniformity requirement is essential since there exists an algorithm that solves APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ) for all input streams  $\sigma$  with  $|\sigma| \leq 1$  using space  $O(\epsilon^{-1} \text{polylog}(n))$  [9].

We also show that for any deterministic and uniform algorithm  $A_n$  over general streams, there exists another algorithm  $B_n$  such that (a) the state of  $B_n$  is arbitrarily mergeable, (b)  $B_n$  uses at most  $O(\log n)$  bits of extra space than  $A_n$ , and, (c) for every input stream  $\sigma$ , the output of  $B_n$  on  $\sigma$  is the same as the output of  $A_n$  on some stream  $\sigma'$  such that  $\text{freq } \sigma = \text{freq } \sigma'$ . In other words, if  $A_n$  correctly solves a given frequency dependent problem, so does  $B_n$ ; further, the state of  $B_n$  is arbitrarily mergeable and  $B_n$  uses  $O(\log n)$  bits of extra space. This shows that deterministic data stream summaries for frequency dependent computation are essentially arbitrarily mergeable.

## 2 Stream Automaton

In this section, we define a stream automaton and study some basic properties.

**Definition 1 (Stream Automaton).** *A stream automaton  $A_n$  over the domain  $[1, n]$  is a deterministic Turing machine that uses two tapes, namely, a two-way read-write work-tape and a one-way read-only input tape. The input tape contains the input stream  $\sigma$ . After processing its input, the automaton writes an output, denoted by  $\text{output}_{A_n}(\sigma)$ , on the work-tape.*  $\square$

*Effective space usage.* We say that a stream automaton uses space  $s(n, m)$  bits if for all input streams  $\sigma$  having  $|\sigma| \leq m$ , the number of cells (bits) on the work-tape in use, after having processed  $\sigma$ , is bounded by  $s(n, m)$ . In particular, this implies that for  $m \geq m'$ ,  $s(n, m) \geq s(n, m')$ . The space function  $s(n, m)$  does not count the space required to actually write the answer on the work-tape, or to process the  $s(n, m)$  bits of the work-tape once the end of the input tape is observed. The proposed model of stream automata is non-uniform over the domain size  $n$ , (and uniform over the stream size parameter  $m = |\sigma|$ ), since, for each  $n \geq 1$ , there is a stream automata  $A_n$  for solving instances of a problem over domain size  $n$ . This creates a problem in quantifying *effective space usage*, particularly, for low-space computations, that is,  $s(n, m) = o(n \log m)$ . Let  $Q(A_n)$  denote the set of states in the finite control of the automaton  $A_n$ . If  $|Q(A_n)| \geq m2^n$ , then, for all  $m' \leq m$ , the automaton can map the frequency vector isomorphically into its finite control, and  $s(n, m) = 0$ . This problem is caused by non-uniformity of the model as a function of the domain size  $n$ , and can be avoided as follows. We define the effective space usage of  $A_n$  as

$$\text{Space}(A_n, m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s(n, m) + \log s(n, m) + |Q(A_n)| .$$

Although, the model of stream automata does not explicitly allow queries, this can be modeled by a stream automaton's capability of writing vectors as answers, whose space is not counted towards the effective space usage. So if  $\{q_i\}_{i \in I}$  denotes the family of all queries that are applicable for the given problem, where,  $I$  is a finite index set of size  $p(n)$  then, the output of the automaton can be thought of as the  $p(n)$ -dimensional vector  $\text{output}_{A_n}(\sigma)$ .

A *frequency dependent problem* over a data stream is characterized by a family of binary predicates  $P_n(\hat{f}, \text{freq } \sigma)$ ,  $\hat{f} \in \mathbb{Z}^{p(n)}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , called the characteristic predicate for the domain  $[1, n]$ .  $P_n$  defines the acceptability (or good approximations) of the output. A stream automaton  $A_n$  solves a problem provided, for every stream  $\sigma$ ,  $P_n(\text{output}_{A_n}(\sigma), \text{freq } \sigma)$  holds. For example, the characteristic predicate corresponding to the problem APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ) is  $\text{err}(\hat{f}, f) \leq \epsilon$ , where,  $\hat{f} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $\text{err}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is defined by (1). Examples of frequency dependent problems are approximating frequencies and finding frequent items, approximate quantiles, histograms, estimating frequency moments, etc..

Given stream automata  $A_n$  and  $B_n$ ,  $B_n$  is said to be an *output restriction* of  $A$ , provided, for every stream  $\sigma$ , there exists a stream  $\sigma'$  such that,  $\text{freq } \sigma = \text{freq } \sigma'$  and  $\text{output}_{B_n}(\sigma) = \text{output}_{A_n}(\sigma')$ . The motivation of this definition is the following straightforward lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $P_n$  be the characteristic predicate of a frequency-dependent problem over data streams and suppose that a stream automaton  $A_n$  solves  $P_n$ . If  $B_n$  is an output restriction of  $A_n$ , then,  $B_n$  also solves  $P_n$ .*  $\square$

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  be any input stream to  $B$  and let  $\hat{f} = \text{output}_B(\sigma)$  be the output of  $B$  on  $\sigma$ . Since,  $B$  is an output restriction of  $A$ , hence,  $\hat{f} = \text{output}_A(\sigma')$ , for some stream  $\sigma'$ . Since,  $A$  solves  $P$ , therefore,  $(\hat{f}, \text{freq } \sigma') \in P$ . However,  $\text{freq } \sigma' = \text{freq } \sigma$ , and therefore,  $(\hat{f}, \text{freq } \sigma) \in P$ . Since, this holds for all  $\sigma$ ,  $B$  solves  $P$  as well.  $\square$

*Notation.* Fix a value of the domain size  $n \geq 2$ . Each stream record of the form  $(i, 1)$  and  $(i, -1)$  is equivalently viewed as  $e_i$  and  $-e_i$  respectively, where,  $e_i = [0, \dots, 0, 1 \text{ (position } i), 0, \dots, 0]$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  standard basis vector of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A stream is thus viewed as a sequence of elementary vectors (or its inverse). The notation  $\sigma \circ \tau$  refers to the stream obtained by concatenating the stream  $\tau$  to the end of the stream  $\sigma$ . In this notation,  $\text{freq } e_i = e_i$ ,  $\text{freq } -e_i = -e_i$  and  $\text{freq } \sigma \circ \tau = \text{freq } \sigma + \text{freq } \tau$ . The *inverse stream* corresponding to  $\sigma$  is denoted as  $\sigma^r$  and is defined inductively as follows:  $e_i^r = -e_i$ ,  $-e_i^r = e_i$  and  $(\sigma \circ \tau)^r = \tau^r \circ \sigma^r$ . The configuration of  $A_n$  is modeled as the triple  $(q, h, w)$ , where,  $q$  is the current state of the finite control of  $A_n$ ,  $h$  is the index of the current cell of the work tape, and  $w$  is the current contents of the work-tape. The processing of each record by  $A_n$  can be viewed as a transition function  $\oplus_{A_n}(a, v)$ , where,  $a$  is the current configuration of  $A_n$ , and  $v$  is the next stream record, that is, one of the  $e_i$ 's. The transition function is written in infix form as  $a \oplus_{A_n} v$ . We assume that  $\oplus_{A_n}$  associates from the left, that is,  $a \oplus_{A_n} u_1 \circ u_2$  means  $(a \oplus_{A_n} u_1) \oplus_{A_n} u_2$ . Given a stream automaton  $A_n$ , the space of possible configurations of  $A_n$  is denoted by  $C(A_n)$ . Let  $C_m(A_n)$  denote the subset of configurations that are reachable from the initial state  $o$  and after processing an input stream  $\sigma$  with  $|\sigma| = \|\text{freq } \sigma\|_\infty \leq m$ . We now define two sub-classes of stream automata.

**Definition 2.** *A stream automaton  $A_n$  is said to be **path independent**, if for each configuration  $s$  of  $A_n$  and input stream  $\sigma$ ,  $s \oplus_{A_n} \sigma$  is dependent only on  $\text{freq } \sigma$  and  $s$ . A stream automaton  $A_n$  is said to be **path reversible** if for every stream  $\sigma$  and configuration  $s$ ,  $s \oplus_{A_n} \sigma \circ \sigma^r = s$ , where,  $\sigma^r$  is the inverse stream of  $\sigma$ .*  $\square$

*Overview of Proof.* The proof of the lower bound on the space complexity of APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ) proceeds in three steps. A subclass of path independent stream automata, called *free au-*

*tomata* is defined and is proved to be the class of path independent automata whose transition function  $\oplus_{A_n}$  can be modeled as a linear mapping of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with input restricted to  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . We then derive a space lower bound for APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ) for free automata (Section 4.1). In the second step, we show that a path independent automaton that solves APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ) can be used to design a free automaton that solves APPROXFREQ( $4\epsilon$ ) (Section 4.2). In the third step, we prove that for any frequency-dependent problem with characteristic predicate  $P_n$  and a stream automaton  $A_n$  that solves it, there exists an output-restricted stream automaton  $B_n$  that also solves  $P_n$ , is path-independent, and,  $\text{Space}(B_n, m) \leq \text{Space}(A_n, m) + O(\log n)$ . This step has two parts—the property is first proved for the class of path-reversible automata  $A_n$  (Section 5) and then generalized to all stream automata (Section 6). Combining the results of the three steps, we obtain the lower bound.

### 3 Path-independent stream automata

In this section, we study the properties of path independent automata. Let  $A_n$  be a path-independent stream automaton over the domain  $[1, n]$  and let  $\oplus$  abbreviate  $\oplus_{A_n}$ . Define the function  $+: \mathbb{Z}^n \times C(A_n) \rightarrow C(A_n)$  as follows.

$$x + a = a \oplus \sigma \text{ where, } \text{freq } \sigma = x .$$

Since  $A_n$  is a path independent automaton, the function  $x + a$  is well-defined. The kernel  $M_{A_n}$  of a path independent automaton is defined as follows. Let the initial configuration be denoted by  $o$ .

$$M_{A_n} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid x + o = 0 + o\}$$

The subscript  $A_n$  in  $M_{A_n}$  is dropped when  $A_n$  is clear from the context.

**Lemma 2.** *The kernel of a path independent automaton is a sub-module of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in M$ . Then,  $0 + o = -x + x + o = -x + o$ , or  $-x \in M$ . If  $x, y \in M$ , then,  $0 + o = x + o = x + y + o$ , or,  $x + y \in M$ . So  $M$  is a sub-module of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ .  $\square$

The quotient set  $\mathbb{Z}^n/M = \{x + M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  together with the well-defined addition operation  $(x + M) + (y + M) = (x + y) + M$ , forms a module over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $M$  be the kernel of a path independent automaton  $A_n$ . The mapping  $x + M \mapsto x + o$  is a set isomorphism between  $\mathbb{Z}^n/M$  and the set of reachable configurations  $\{x + o \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ . The automaton  $A_n$  gives the same output for each  $y \in x + M$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .*

*Proof.*  $y \in x + M$  iff  $x - y \in M$  or  $-y + x + o = o$ , or,  $x + o = y + o$ . Thus,  $A_n$  attains the same configuration after processing both  $x$  and  $y$  and therefore  $A_n$  gives the same output for both  $x$  and  $y$ . Since,  $x + o = y + o$  iff  $x - y \in M$ , which implies that the mapping  $x + M \mapsto x + o$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_m^n$  denote the subset  $\{-m, \dots, m\}^n$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $A_n$  be a path independent automaton with kernel  $M$ . Then,*

$$\text{Space}(A_n, m) \geq \lceil \log |\{x + M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n\}| \rceil \geq (n - \dim M) \log(2m + 1).$$

*Proof.* The set of distinct configurations of  $A_n$  after it has processed a stream with frequency  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n$  is isomorphic to  $\{x + M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n\}$ . The number of configurations using workspace of  $s = s(n, m)$  is at most  $|Q_{A_n}| \cdot s \cdot 2^s$ . Therefore,

$$2^{\text{Space}(A_n, m)} = |Q_{A_n}| \cdot s \cdot 2^s \geq |\{x + M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n\}|. \quad (2)$$

We now obtain an upper bound on the size  $|M \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^n|$ . Let  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r$  be a basis for  $M$ . The set

$$P_m = \{\alpha_1 b_1 + \dots + \alpha_r b_r \mid |\alpha_i| \leq m \text{ and integral, } i = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$$

defines the set of all integral points generated by  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r$  with multipliers in  $\{-m, \dots, m\}$ . Thus,

$$|M \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^n| \leq |P_m| = (2m + 1)^r. \quad (3)$$

It follows that

$$|\{x + M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n\}| \geq \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_m^n|}{|M \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^n|} \geq (2m + 1)^{n-r}.$$

Since,  $r = \dim M$ , substituting in (2) and taking logarithms, we have

$$\text{Space}(A_n, m) \geq \log |\{x + M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n\}| \geq (n - r) \log(2m + 1). \quad \square$$

Lemma 5 shows that given a sub-module  $M$ , a path-independent automaton with a given  $M$  as a kernel can be constructed using nearly optimal space. The transition function  $(x + M) + (y + M) = (x + y) + M$  implies that the state of a path independent automaton is arbitrarily mergeable.

**Lemma 5.** *For any sub-module  $M$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , one can construct a path-independent automaton with kernel  $M$  that uses nearly optimal space  $s(n, m) = \log |\{x + M \mid x \in [-m \dots m]^n\}| + O(\log n)$  and uses  $n^{O(1)}$  states in its finite control.*  $\square$

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a given sub-module of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  with basis  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  (say). It is sufficient to construct a path independent automaton whose configurations are isomorphic to  $E = \mathbb{Z}^n / M$ . Since,  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is free,  $\mathbb{Z}^n / M$  is finitely generated using any basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Therefore, the basic module decomposition theorem states that

$$\mathbb{Z}^n / M = \mathbb{Z} / (q_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / (q_r). \quad (4)$$

where,  $q_1 | q_2 | \dots | q_r$ . (Here,  $\oplus$  refers to the direct sum of modules.) The finite control of the automaton stores  $q_1, \dots, q_r$  and the machinery required to calculate  $1 \bmod q_j$  and  $-1 \bmod q_j$  for each  $j$ . For the frequency vector  $f$ , the residue vector  $f + M$  is maintained as a vector of residues with respect to the  $q_j$ 's as given by (4). Since, (4) is a direct sum, hence, the space used by this representation is optimal and equal to  $|\{x + M \mid x \in [-m \dots m]^n\}|$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3 (Free Automaton).** *A path independent automaton  $A_n$  with kernel  $M$  is said to be free if  $\mathbb{Z}^n / M$  is a free module.*  $\square$

That is,  $A_n$  is free if for every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \neq 0$  and  $ax \in M$ , it is the case that  $x \in M$ . For free automata  $A_n$ , it follows that  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is the direct sum of  $M$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^n / M$ , that is,  $\mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}^n / M \oplus M$ . For the APPROXFREQ problem and other related problems, it will suffice to consider only free automata<sup>2</sup>. Lemma 6 shows that the transition function  $\oplus$  of a free automata can be represented as a linear mapping.

<sup>2</sup> There exist stream automata that use finite field arithmetic and consequently have torsion, for example [8].

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $A_n$  be free automaton with kernel  $M$ . There exists a unique vector subspace  $M^e$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the smallest dimension containing  $M$ . The mapping  $x + M \mapsto x + M^e$  is an injective mapping from  $\mathbb{Z}^n/M$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n/M^e$ . If  $\dim \mathbb{Z}^n/M = r$ , then, there exists an orthonormal basis  $V = [V_1, V_2]$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\text{rank}(V_1) = r$ ,  $\text{rank}(V_2) = n - r$ ,  $M^e$  is the linear span of  $V_2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n/M^e$  is the linear span of  $V_1$ .  $\square$*

*Proof.*  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a principal and entire ring. Since  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is a module over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , its sub-modules are free modules. Therefore,  $M$  is a free module. Since  $\mathbb{Z}^n/M$  is given to be free,  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is the direct sum of two free modules,  $\mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}^n/M \oplus M$ . Therefore, both  $M$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^n/M$  have bases, say  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  whose union is a basis for  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Since,  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is a free module and has the standard  $n$ -dimensional basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ , therefore, all bases of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  have the same dimension. Without loss of generality, therefore, let  $B = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n]$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $B_2 = [b_1, \dots, b_r]$  is a basis for  $M$  and  $B_1 = [b_{r+1}, \dots, b_n]$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{Z}^n/M$ .

Let  $M^e$  denote the span of  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $M^e$  is obviously the smallest vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  that contains  $M$ , since, every vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  containing  $M$  must contain the span of  $b_1, \dots, b_r$ . Therefore,  $\dim M^e \leq r$  and therefore,  $\dim \mathbb{R}^n/M^e \leq n - r$  (same argument). However, the standard basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  and therefore,  $\dim M^e + \dim \mathbb{R}^n/M^e = n$ . Hence,  $\dim M^e = r$  and  $\dim \mathbb{R}^n/M^e = n - r$ . Further,  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  continues to be a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , of which  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  is a basis for  $M^e$  and  $b_{r+1}, \dots, b_n$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n/M^e$ .

Consider the mapping  $x + M \mapsto x + M^e$ . Let  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$  denote the elements  $x + M$  and  $y + M$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^n/M$ . Suppose that  $\bar{x} \neq \bar{y}$ . Then,  $x - y \notin M$ .  $x - y$  can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination of the basis elements.

$$x - y = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j b_j, \quad \alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Hence,  $x - y$  has the same unique representation in the vector space over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Further, at least one of the coordinates  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  is non-zero, otherwise,  $x - y$  would belong to  $M$ . Since,  $x - y$  has the same representation in the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x - y$  is not in  $M^e$ . The mapping  $x + M \mapsto x + M^e$  is therefore injective. Using standard Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  respectively viewed as defining vector sub-spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ , we get  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . By the previous argument,  $\text{rank}(V_1) = n - r$  and  $\text{rank}(V_2) = r$ .  $\square$

## 4 Frequency estimation

In this section, we present a space lower bound for  $\text{APPROXFREQ}(\epsilon)$  using path-independent automaton. Recall that a stream automaton  $A_n$  solves  $\text{APPROXFREQ}(\epsilon)$ , provided, after processing any input stream  $\sigma$  with  $\text{freq } \sigma = x$ ,  $A_n$  returns a vector  $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying  $\text{err}(\hat{x}, x) = \frac{\|\hat{x} - x\|_\infty}{\|x\|_1} \leq \epsilon$ . In general, if an estimation algorithm returns the same estimate  $u$  for all elements of a set  $S$ , then,  $\text{err}(u, S)$  is defined as  $\max_{y \in S} \text{err}(u, y)$ . Given a set  $S$ , let  $\min_{\ell_1}(S)$  denote the element in  $S$  with the smallest  $\ell_1$  norm:  $\min_{\ell_1}(S) = \text{argmin}_{y \in S} \|y\|_1$ .

**Lemma 7.** *If  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  and there exists  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\text{err}(h, S) \leq \epsilon$ , then  $\text{err}(\min_{\ell_1}(S), S) \leq 2\epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g$  denote  $\min_{\ell_1}(S)$  and  $y \in S$ . Since,  $\|g\|_1 \leq \|y\|_1$ , by triangle inequality,

$$\text{err}(g, y) = \frac{\|g - y\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \leq \frac{\|g - h\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} + \frac{\|h - y\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \leq \frac{\|g - h\|_\infty}{\|g\|_1} + \frac{\|h - y\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \leq \epsilon + \epsilon = 2\epsilon \quad \square$$

#### 4.1 Frequency estimation using free automata

In this section, let  $A_n$  be a free automaton with kernel  $M$  that solves the problem  $\text{APPROXFREQ}(\epsilon)$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $M$  be a sub-module of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . (1) if there exists  $h$  such that  $\text{err}(h, M) \leq \epsilon$ , then,  $\text{err}(0, M) \leq \epsilon$ , and, (2) if  $\text{err}(0, M) \leq \epsilon$  then  $\text{err}(0, M^e) \leq \epsilon$ .*

*Proof (of Lemma 8 part (1)).* For any  $y_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\max(|h_i - y_i|, |h_i + y_i|) \geq |y_i|$ . Therefore,

$$\max(\|h - y\|_\infty, \|h + y\|_\infty) \geq \|y\|_\infty.$$

Let  $y \in M$ . Since,  $M$  is a module,  $-y \in M$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{err}(0, y) &= \text{err}(0, -y) = \frac{\|y\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \leq \frac{1}{\|y\|_1} \max(\|h - y\|_\infty, \|h + y\|_\infty) \\ &= \max(\text{err}(h, y), \text{err}(h, -y)) \leq \epsilon \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

*Proof (of Lemma 8 part (2)).* Let  $z \in M^e$ . Let  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r$  be a basis of the free module  $M$ . For  $t > 0$ , let  $tz$  be expressed uniquely as  $tz = \alpha_1 b_1 + \dots + \alpha_r b_r$ , where,  $\alpha_i$ 's belong to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider the vertices of the parallelopiped  $P_{tz}$  whose sides are  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r$  and that encloses  $tz$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P_{tz} &= [\alpha_1]b_1 + [\alpha_2]b_2 + \dots + [\alpha_n]b_n \\ &\quad + \{\beta_1 b_1 + \beta_2 b_2 + \dots + \beta_r b_r \mid \beta_j \in \{0, 1\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, r\} \end{aligned}$$

where,  $[\alpha]$  denotes the largest integer smaller than or equal to  $\alpha$ . Since,  $\ell_\infty$  is a convex function  $\|tz\|_\infty \leq \|y\|_\infty$  for some  $y \in P_{tz}$ . Let  $y = \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j b_j$ , for  $\beta_j \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|y - tz\|_1 &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^r (\beta_j - [\alpha_j]) b_j \right\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^r \|(\beta_j - [\alpha_j]) b_j\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^r \|b_j\|_1 \\ \text{or, } \|tz\|_1 &\geq \|y\|_1 - \sum_{j=1}^r \|b_j\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{err}(0, tz) &= \frac{\|tz\|_\infty}{\|tz\|_1} \leq \frac{\|y\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1 - \sum_{j=1}^r \|b_j\|_1} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\|y\|_1}{\|y\|_\infty} - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^r \|b_j\|_1}{\|y\|_\infty} \right)^{-1} \leq \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^r \|b_j\|_1}{\|y\|_\infty} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where, the last step follows from the assumption that  $y \in M$  and therefore,  $\text{err}(0, y) = \frac{\|y\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \leq \epsilon$ . The ratio  $\frac{\sum_{j=1}^r \|b_j\|_1}{\|y\|_\infty}$  can be made arbitrarily small by choosing  $t$  to be arbitrarily large. Thus,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{err}(0, tz) \leq \epsilon$ . Since,  $\text{err}(0, tz) = \frac{\|tz\|_\infty}{\|tz\|_1} = \frac{\|z\|_\infty}{\|z\|_1} = \text{err}(0, z)$ , for all  $t$ , we have,  $\text{err}(0, z) \leq \epsilon$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $A_n$  be a free automaton that solves  $\text{APPROXFREQ}(\epsilon)$  and has kernel  $M$ . Let  $M^e$  be the smallest dimension subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing  $M$ . Let  $V_1, V_2$  be a collection of vectors that forms an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $V_2$  spans  $M^e$  and  $V_1$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^n / M^e$ . Then, for  $1/\sqrt{6n} < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $\text{rank}(V_1) \geq \frac{1}{72\epsilon^2}$ .*

*Proof.* Since,  $V_1$  has orthogonal columns

$$\|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_2^2 = \|V_1^T e_i\|_2^2 = (V_1 V_1^T e_i)_i . \quad (5)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{trace}(V_1 V_1^T) = \sum_{i=1}^n (V_1 V_1^T e_i)_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_2^2$$

The trace of  $V_1 V_1^T$  is the sum of the eigenvalues of  $V_1 V_1^T$ . Since,  $V_1$  is orthogonal columns and has rank  $\text{rank}(V_1)$ ,  $V_1 V_1^T$  has eigenvalue 1 with multiplicity  $\text{rank}(V_1)$  and eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity  $n - \text{rank}(V_1)$ . Thus,  $\text{trace}(V_1 V_1^T) = \text{rank}(V_1) = r$  (say). It follows that

$$r = \text{trace}(V_1 V_1^T) = \sum_{i=1}^n \|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_2^2 . \quad (6)$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_1 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_2 \sqrt{n}, \quad \text{since, } \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_2 \sqrt{n} \\ &\leq \sqrt{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} n^{1/2}, \quad \text{by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality} \\ &= n\sqrt{k} \quad \text{by (6)} . \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \{V_1 V_1^T e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } \|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_2^2 \leq 3r/n\}, \text{ and} \\ K &= \{V_1 V_1^T e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } \|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_1 \leq 3\sqrt{r}\} . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (6) and (7),

$$|J| \geq \frac{2n}{3} \text{ and } |K| \geq \frac{2n}{3} .$$

Hence,  $J \cap K \neq \emptyset$ , that is, there exists  $i$  such that  $\|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_2 \leq (3r/n)^{1/2}$  and  $\|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_1 \leq 3\sqrt{r}$ . Since,  $e_i - V_1 V_1^T e_i = V_2 V_2^T e_i \in M^e$ , therefore,

$$\epsilon \geq \text{err}(e_i - V_1 V_1^T e_i, 0) = \frac{\|e_i - V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_\infty}{\|e_i - V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_1} .$$

Therefore,

$$\|e_i - V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_\infty \leq \epsilon \|V_1 V_1^T e_i - e_i\|_1 . \quad (8)$$

By (5),

$$(V_1 V_1^T e_i)_i = \|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_2^2 \leq \frac{3r}{n} .$$

Therefore,

$$\|e_i - V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_\infty \geq |(e_i - V_1 V_1^T e_i)_i| = 1 - \|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_2^2 \geq 1 - \frac{3r}{n}, \quad \text{by (5) and since } V_1 V_1^T e_i \in J .$$



Substituting in (8),

$$1 - \frac{3r}{n} \leq \|e_i - V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_\infty \leq \epsilon \|V_1 V_1^T e_i - e_i\|_1 \leq \epsilon (\|V_1 V_1^T e_i\| + 1), \text{ by triangle inequality} \\ \leq \epsilon (3\sqrt{r} + 1), \text{ since, } V_1 V_1^T e_i \in K.$$

Simplifying,  $r \geq \min(n/6, 1/(36\epsilon^2) - 1/9\epsilon)$ . Therefore, for  $1/\sqrt{6n} < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $r \geq \frac{1}{72\epsilon^2}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $\frac{1}{6\sqrt{n}} \leq \epsilon < \frac{1}{8}$ . Suppose  $A_n$  be a free automaton that uses  $s(n, m)$  bits on the work-tape to solve APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ). Then,  $s(n, m) = \Omega\left(\frac{\log m}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M = \text{kernel of } A_n$ . By Lemma 9,  $\text{rank}(V_1) = n - \dim M^e = \Omega\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ . By Lemma 4,  $s(n, m) = \Omega((n - \dim M) \log m)$ . Since,  $\dim M = \dim M^e$ , the result follows.  $\square$

## 4.2 General path independent automata

We now show that for the problem APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ), it is sufficient to consider free automata. Let  $A_n$  be a path-independent automaton that solves APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ) and has kernel  $M$ . Suppose that  $\mathbb{Z}^n/M$  is not free. Let  $M'$  be the module that removes the torsion from  $\mathbb{Z}^n/M$ , that is,

$$M' = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \exists a \in \mathbb{Z}, a \neq 0 \text{ and } ax \in M\}. \quad (9)$$

**Lemma 11.**  *$\mathbb{Z}^n/M'$  is torsion-free.*

*Proof (Of Lemma 11).* Suppose  $\bar{y} = y + M'$  is a torsion element in  $\mathbb{Z}^n/M'$ . Then, there exists  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b \neq 0$  such that  $b\bar{y} = by + M' \in M'$  or that  $by \in M'$ . Therefore, there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , such that  $by = ax$ , for some  $x \in M$ , or that,  $y = (b^{-1}a)x$  with  $b^{-1}a \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $y \in M$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{Z}^n/M'$  is torsion-free.  $\square$

**Fact 12** *Let  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r$  be a basis of  $M'$ . Then,  $\exists \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$  such that  $\alpha_1 b_1, \dots, \alpha_r b_r$  is a basis for  $M$ . Hence,  $M^e = (M')^e$ .*

*Proof (Of Fact 12).* It follows from standard algebra that the basis of  $M$  is of the form  $\alpha_1 b_1, \dots, \alpha_r b_r$ . It remains to be shown that the  $\alpha_i$ 's are non-zero. Suppose that  $\alpha_1 = 0$ . For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , suppose  $ax \in M$  and  $x \in M'$ . Then,  $x$  has a unique representation as  $x = \sum_{j=1}^r x_j b_j$ . Thus,  $ax = \sum_{j=1}^r (ax_j) b_j \in M$  and has the same representation in the basis  $\{\alpha_j b_j\}_{j=1, \dots, n}$ . Therefore,  $ax_1 = 0$  or  $x_1 = 0$  for all  $x \in M'$ , which is a contradiction.

Let  $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r\}$  be a basis for  $M'$ . Then, by the above paragraph, there exist non-zero elements  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  such that  $\{\alpha_1 b_1, \alpha_2 b_2, \dots, \alpha_r b_r\}$  is a basis for  $M$ . Therefore, over reals,  $(b_1, \dots, b_r) = (\alpha_1 b_1, \dots, \alpha_r b_r)$ . Thus,  $M^e = (M')^e$ .  $\square$

We show that if a path independent automaton with kernel  $M$  can solve APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ), then a free automaton with kernel  $M' \supset M$  can solve APPROXFREQ( $4\epsilon$ ).

**Lemma 13.** *Suppose  $A_n$  is a path independent automaton for solving APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ) and has kernel  $M$ . Then, there exists a free automaton  $B_n$  with kernel  $M'$  such that  $M' \supset M$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}^n/M'$  is free, and  $\text{err}(\min_{\ell_1}(x + M'), x) \leq 4\epsilon$ .*

*Proof (Of Lemma 13).* Let  $M$  be the kernel of  $A_n$  and let  $M'$  be as defined in (9), so that  $\mathbb{Z}^n/M'$  is free. For  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , define  $h(x + M') = \min_{\ell_1}(x + M')$ . Let  $y \in x + M'$ . Then,  $y \in x_1 + M$  for some  $x_1$ . Let  $\hat{y} = \text{output}_{A_n}(x_1 + M)$  denote the output of  $A_n$  for an input stream with frequency in  $x_1 + M$  (they all return the same value, since,  $A_n$  is path independent and has kernel  $M$ ) and let  $y' = \min_{\ell_1}(x_1 + M)$ . Let  $h$  denote  $h(x + M')$  and let  $\hat{h} = \text{output}_{A_n}(h + M)$ . Therefore,

$$\text{err}(h, y) = \frac{\|y - h\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \leq \frac{\|y - \hat{y}\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} + \frac{\|\hat{y} - y'\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} + \frac{\|y' - h\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \quad (10)$$

The first and the second terms above are bounded by  $\epsilon$  as follows. The first term  $\frac{\|y - \hat{y}\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} = \text{err}(\hat{y}, y) \leq \epsilon$ , since,  $y \in x_1 + M$  and  $\hat{y}$  is the estimate returned by  $A_n$  for this coset. The second term

$$\frac{\|\hat{y} - y'\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \leq \frac{\|\hat{y} - y'\|_\infty}{\|y'\|_1} = \text{err}(\hat{y}, y') \leq \epsilon$$

since,  $\|y'\|_1 \leq \|y\|_1$  and  $y'$  lies in the coset  $x_1 + M$ . The third term in (10) can be rewritten as follows. By Lemma 11,  $y' - h \in M'$  and  $M' \subset M^e$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|y' - h\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} &\leq \frac{\|y' - h\|_\infty}{\|y' - h\|_1} \cdot \frac{\|y' - h\|_1}{\|y'\|_1}, \quad \text{since, } \|y'\|_1 \leq \|y\|_1 \\ &\leq \epsilon \cdot \frac{\|y'\|_1 + \|h\|_1}{\|y'\|_1} \quad \text{by Lemma 8 and by triangle inequality} \\ &\leq 2\epsilon, \quad \text{since, } \|h\|_1 \leq \|y'\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

By (10),  $\text{err}(h, y) \leq \epsilon + \epsilon + 2\epsilon = 4\epsilon$ . The automaton  $B_n$  with kernel  $M'$  is constructed as in Lemma 5.  $\square$

**Lemma 14.** Suppose  $\frac{1}{24\sqrt{n}} \leq \epsilon < \frac{1}{32}$ . Let  $A_n$  be a path independent automaton that solves APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ). If  $A_n$  has kernel  $M$ , then,  $n - \dim M = \Omega\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 13, there exists a free automaton  $A'_n$  with kernel  $M' \supset M$  that solves APPROXFREQ( $4\epsilon$ ). Therefore,  $n - \dim M \geq n - \dim M' = \Omega\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ , by Lemma 10.  $\square$

## 5 Path reversible automata

In this section, we show that given a path reversible automaton  $A_n$ , one can construct a path independent automaton  $B_n$  that is an output restriction of  $A_n$  and  $\text{Space}(B_n, m) \leq \text{Space}(A_n, m) + O(\log n)$ . Let  $A_n$  be a path reversible automaton. For  $f \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , define  $\phi_{A_n}(f) = \{s \mid \exists \sigma \text{ s.t. } o \oplus \sigma = s \text{ and } \text{freq } \sigma = f\}$ . The kernel of  $A_n$  is defined as follows:  $M = M_{A_n} = \{f \mid o \in \phi_{A_n}(f)\}$ . Let  $C = C(A_n)$  be the set of reachable configurations from the initial state  $o$  of  $A_n$  and let  $C_m = C_m(A_n)$  denote the subset of  $C(A_n)$  that are reachable from the initial state  $o$  on input streams  $\sigma$  with  $|\sigma| \leq m$ . Define a binary relation over  $C$  as follows:  $s \sim t$  if there exists  $f \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $s, t \in \phi_{A_n}(f)$ .

**Lemma 15.** 1.  $M$  is a sub-module of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ .

2. If  $f - g \in M$  then  $\phi_{A_n}(f) = \phi_{A_n}(g)$ , and, if  $\phi_{A_n}(f) \cap \phi_{A_n}(g)$  is non-empty, then,  $f - g \in M$ .

3. The relation  $\sim$  over  $C$  is an equivalence relation.

4. The map  $[s] \mapsto f + M$ , for  $s \in \phi_{A_n}(f)$ , is well-defined, 1-1 and onto.

*Proof (Of Lemma 15, part 1.).* Since the empty stream has frequency 0,  $0 \in M$ . Suppose  $f \in M$ . There exists  $\sigma$  such that  $\text{freq } \sigma = f$  and  $o \oplus \sigma = o$ . By path reversibility,  $o = o \oplus \sigma \circ \sigma^r = o \oplus \sigma^r$ . Since  $\text{freq } \sigma^r = -\text{freq } \sigma = -f$ , therefore,  $-f \in M$ . Now suppose  $f, g \in M$ . Then there exists  $\sigma, \tau$  such that  $\text{freq } \sigma = f, \text{freq } \tau = g, o \oplus \sigma = o$  and  $o \oplus \tau = o$ . Therefore,  $o \oplus \sigma \circ \tau = o \circ \tau = o$ . Since,  $\text{freq } \sigma \circ \tau = \text{freq } \sigma + \text{freq } \tau = f + g$ , therefore,  $f + g \in M$ .  $\square$

*Proof (Of Lemma 15, part 2.).* Suppose  $f = g + h$ , for some  $h \in M$ . Then, there exists  $\sigma$  such that  $o \oplus \sigma = o$  and  $\text{freq } \sigma = h$ . Let  $a \in \phi_{A_n}(g)$  and let  $\tau$  be a stream such that  $o \oplus \tau = a$  and  $\text{freq } \tau = g$ . Then,  $o \oplus \sigma \oplus \tau = o \oplus \tau = a$ , and  $\text{freq } \sigma \oplus \tau = \text{freq } \sigma + \text{freq } \tau = h + g = f$ . Therefore,  $a \in \phi_{A_n}(f)$ , or,  $\phi_{A_n}(g) \subset \phi_{A_n}(f)$ . Reversing the roles of  $f$  and  $g$ , we have,  $\phi_{A_n}(f) \subset \phi_{A_n}(g)$ , or that,  $\phi_{A_n}(f) = \phi_{A_n}(g)$ . This proves the first assertion of the lemma. Conversely, Suppose  $a \in \phi_{A_n}(f) \cap \phi_{A_n}(g)$ . Then, there exist streams  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  such that  $\text{freq } \sigma = f, \text{freq } \tau = g$  and  $o \oplus \sigma = o \oplus \tau = a$ . By path reversibility,  $a \oplus \tau^r = o$ . Therefore,  $o \oplus \sigma \circ \tau^r = a \circ \tau^r = o$ , and  $\text{freq } \sigma \circ \tau^r = \text{freq } \sigma + \text{freq } \tau^r = f - g$ . Therefore,  $o \in \phi_{A_n}(f - g)$  and so  $f - g \in M$ .  $\square$

*Proof (Of Lemma 15, part 3.).* By definition,  $\sim$  is reflexive and symmetric. Suppose that  $s \sim t$  and  $t \sim u$ . Then, there exists  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $s, t \in \phi_{A_n}(f)$  and  $t, u \in \phi_{A_n}(g)$ . Therefore,  $t \in \phi_{A_n}(f) \cap \phi_{A_n}(g)$ . Hence,  $f - g \in M$  and so  $\phi_{A_n}(f) = \phi_{A_n}(g)$ . Thus,  $s \sim u$ .  $\square$

*Proof (Of Lemma 15, part 4.).* Suppose  $s \in \phi_{A_n}(f) \cap \phi_{A_n}(g)$ , then,  $f - g \in M$ , by Lemma 15, part 2, or that,  $f + M = g + M$ . Hence, the map is well-defined. Suppose  $[s]$  and  $[t]$  both map to  $f + M$ . Then,  $s, t \in \phi_{A_n}(f)$ , and so  $s \sim t$  and therefore,  $[s] = [t]$ . Hence the map is 1-1. For  $f \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $\phi_{A_n}(f)$  is non-empty and for any  $s \in \phi_{A_n}(f)$ ,  $[s]$  maps to  $f + M$ , proving onto-ness.  $\square$

Let  $B_n$  be a path independent stream automaton whose configurations are the set of cosets of  $M$  and whose transition is defined as by the sum of the cosets, that is,  $f + (x + M) = (f + x) + M$ , constructed using Lemma 5. Its output on an input stream  $\sigma$  is defined as:

$$\text{output}_{B_n}(\sigma) = \text{choice } \{\text{output of } A_n \text{ in configuration } s \mid s \in \phi_{A_n}(\text{freq } \sigma)\}$$

where, choice  $S$  returns some element from its argument set  $S$ .

**Lemma 16.**  $B_n$  is an output restriction of  $A_n$ .

*Proof.*  $f + M = g + M$  if and only if  $\phi_{A_n}(f) = \phi_{A_n}(g)$ . Therefore,  $\text{out}_B(\sigma)$  is well-defined. Further, by definition of  $\text{out}_B$ ,  $\text{out}_B(\sigma) = \text{the output of } A \text{ in some configuration } s$ , where,  $s \in \phi_{A_n}(\text{freq } \sigma)$ . Thus,  $B_n$  is an output restriction of  $A_n$ .  $\square$

We can now prove the main lemma of the section.

**Lemma 17.** Let  $A_n$  be a path reversible automaton with kernel  $M$ . Then, there exists a path independent automaton  $B_n$  with kernel  $M$  that is an output restriction of  $A_n$  such that  $\log|C_m(A_n)| + O(\log n) \geq \text{Space}(B_n, m)$ , for  $m \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B_n$  be constructed in the manner described above. By Lemma 16, is an output-restriction of  $A_n$ . Since the map  $[s] \rightarrow f + M$ , for  $s \in \phi_{A_n}(f)$  is 1-1 and onto (Lemma 15, part 4), therefore, for every  $m$ , each reachable configuration of  $B_n$  after processing streams  $\sigma$  with  $\text{freq } \sigma \in [-m \dots m]^n$  can be associated with a disjoint aggregate of configurations of  $A_n$ . The number of reachable configurations of  $B_n$  after processing streams with frequency in  $[-m \dots m]^n$  is  $|\{x + M \mid x \in [-m \dots m]^n\}|$ . Thus,  $|C(A_n)| \geq |\{x + M \mid x \in [-m \dots m]^n\}|$ . By Lemma 5,  $\text{Space}(B_n, m) = \log |\{x + M \mid x \in [-m \dots m]^n\}| + O(\log n)$ . Combining, we obtain the statement of the lemma.  $\square$

*Remarks.* The above procedure transforms a path reversible automaton  $A_n$  to a path-independent automaton  $B_n$  such that  $\log|C_m(A_n)| + O(\log n) \geq \text{Space}(B_n, m)$ , for all  $m \geq 1$ . However, the arguments only use the property that the transition function  $\oplus_{A_n}$  is path reversible, and the fact that the subset of reachable configurations  $C_m(A_n)$  on streams of size at most  $m$  is finite. The argument is more general and also applies to computation performed by an infinite-state deterministic automaton in the classical sense that returns an output after it sees the end of its input, with set of states  $C$ , initial state  $o$  and a path-reversible transition function  $\oplus'_{A_n}$ . The above argument shows that such an automaton  $A_n$  can be simulated by a path-independent stream automaton  $B_n$  with finite control and additional space overhead of  $O(\log n)$  bits, such that  $B_n$  is an output-restriction of  $A_n$ . We will use this observation in the next section.

## 6 Path non-reversible automata

In this section, we show that corresponding to every general stream automaton  $A_n$ , there exists a path reversible automaton  $A'_n$  that is an output-restriction of  $A_n$ , such that  $\text{Space}(A_n, m) \geq \log|C_m(A'_n)|$ . By Lemma 17, corresponding to any path reversible automaton  $A'_n$ , there exists an output-restricted and path independent automaton  $B_n$ , such that  $\log|C_m(A'_n)| \geq \text{Space}(B_n, m) - O(\log n)$ . Together, this proves a basic property of stream automata, namely, that, for every stream automaton  $A_n$ , there exists a path-independent stream automaton  $B_n$  that is an output-restriction of  $A_n$  and  $\text{Space}(B_n, m) \leq \text{Space}(A_n, m) + O(\log n)$ . We construct the path-reversible automaton  $A'_n$  only to the extent of designing a path-reversible transition function  $\oplus_{A'_n}$ , a set of configurations  $C(A'_n)$  and specifying the output of  $A'_n$  if the end of the stream is met while at any  $s \in C(A'_n)$ . As per the remarks at the end of the previous section, this is sufficient to enable the construction of the path-independent automaton  $B_n$  from  $A'_n$ .

### 6.1 Defining reversible transition function from stream automata

In this section, we present detailed (existential) construction of constructing a reversible transition function  $\oplus' = \oplus_{A'_n}$  from a given general stream automaton  $A_n$  with transition function  $\oplus = \oplus_{A_n}$ . Let  $C = C(A_n)$  denote the space of configurations of  $A_n$  and let  $C_m = C_m(A_n)$  denote the subset of  $C(A_n)$  that are reachable from  $o$  on input streams of size at most  $m$ .

Consider a directed graph  $G = (C, E)$  where,  $C = C(A_n)$  is the set of vertices and there is a directed edge from  $s$  to  $t$  provided there is some stream  $\sigma$  such that  $\text{freq } \sigma = 0$  and  $s \oplus \sigma = t$ . Define the equivalence relation  $s \sim_G t$  if there is a directed path from  $s$  to  $t$  in  $G$  and vice-versa. Let  $[s]_{\sim_G}$  denote the equivalence class to which a configuration  $s$  belongs. Define the equivalence class restricted to the vertices of  $C_m$  as  $[s]_{\sim_{G_m}} = [s]_{\sim_G} \cap C_m$ . An equivalence class  $[s]_{\sim_{G_m}}$  that satisfies the property that for every stream  $\sigma$  with  $\text{freq } \sigma = 0$  and  $s \oplus \sigma \in C_m$ , we have  $s \oplus \sigma \in [s]_{\sim_{G_m}}$ , are called *terminal* equivalence classes.

**Lemma 18.** *For every  $m \geq 1$  and  $u \in C_m$ , there exists  $s = s(u)$  reachable from  $u$  in  $G_m$  such that  $[s]_{\sim_{G_m}}$  is a terminal equivalence class.*

*Proof (Of Lemma 18.).* Let  $u_0$  be a vertex reachable from  $u$  in  $G_m$ . If  $[u_0]_{\sim_{G_m}}$  satisfies the property stated in the lemma, then, we are done. Otherwise, there exists  $\sigma$  such that  $\text{freq } \sigma = 0$  and  $u_1 = u_0 \oplus \sigma \in C_m - [u_0]$ . We now iteratively construct the sequence  $[u_1]_{\sim_{G_m}}, [u_2]_{\sim_{G_m}}, \dots$ , in this manner. Suppose that two equivalence classes in this sequence

are the same, that is, suppose  $[u_i]_{\sim_{G_m}} = [u_j]_{\sim_{G_m}}$ . Then, there exists a directed path from  $u_i$  to  $u_j$  and vice-versa and therefore,  $[u_i]_{\sim_{G_m}} = \dots = [u_j]_{\sim_{G_m}}$ , that is, the iteration terminates. Since,  $C_m$  is finite, the iterated sequence of equivalence classes of  $\sim_{G_m}$  terminates. The last equivalence class of this sequence satisfies the property of the lemma.  $\square$

Define the mapping  $\alpha_m : C_m \rightarrow C_m$  as follows:  $\alpha_m(s)$  = some member of some terminal equivalence class reachable from  $s$  (for e.g., the member with least lexicographic value among all candidates). Fix  $s \in C$  and consider the sequence  $\{\alpha_m(s)\}_{m \geq 1}$ . If this sequence is finite, then, one can define  $\alpha(s)$  to be a final element of the sequence. Otherwise, we use a standard technique of passing to the infinite case by associating  $s$  with ‘consistent’ infinite sequences  $\bar{s} = \{\alpha_m(s)\}_{m \geq 1}$ .

**Lemma 19.** *For  $s \in C$ ,  $\alpha(s) \oplus' e_i \circ -e_i = \alpha(s)$  and  $\alpha(s) \oplus' -e_i \circ e_i = \alpha(s)$ .*

*Proof (Of Lemma 19 ).* A configuration  $s$  is first identified with the infinite sequence,  $\bar{s} = \{\alpha_m(s)\}_{m \geq 1}$ . Recall that the definition of  $\alpha_m(s)$  allows flexibility in the choice of a terminal class of  $\sim_{G_m}$ . We now ensure that the choices are made in a consistent manner as follows. For each  $m$ , there is a path  $P_m(s)$  from  $s$  to a vertex in the equivalence class  $\alpha_m(s)$ . By consistent choices across  $m$ , we mean that the  $P_{m+j}(s)$  is an extension of the path  $P_m(s)$ , for each  $j > 0$ , and for each  $s \in C$ . From now

The transition function  $\oplus'$  is defined in two steps. First, we define an intermediate function  $\oplus_1$ .

$$\bar{s} \oplus_1 e_i = \{\alpha_m(\alpha_m(s) \oplus e_i)\}_{m \geq 1} \quad (11)$$

Sequences are allowed to have the undefined element  $\perp$ , since, it is possible that  $s \notin C_m$  and hence  $\alpha_m(s)$  is not defined. However, if  $\alpha_m(s)$  is defined, then,  $\alpha_{m+j}(s)$  is defined, for all  $j > 0$ . This implies that the undefined elements, if they occur, form a prefix of the sequence  $\bar{s}$ .

We now attempt to prove Lemma 19 for the transition function  $\oplus_1$ . Let  $m_0$  be the smallest  $m$  for which  $\alpha_m(s) \oplus e_i$  is well-defined. Then, for all  $m \geq m_0$ , both  $\alpha_m(s) \oplus e_i$  and  $\alpha(\alpha_m(s) \oplus e_i) \oplus -e_i$  are also well-defined. The arguments in the finite case of Lemma 19 hold for each member  $m \geq m_0$ . The same can be said for  $\alpha_m(s) \oplus -e_i$ . Thus, the two sequences

$$\{\alpha_m(s)\}_{m \geq 1} \text{ and } \{\alpha_m(\alpha_m(s) \oplus e_i) \oplus -e_i\}_{m \geq 1}$$

differ at most in a finite prefix, where, the *RHS* sequence may have more  $\perp$  elements than the sequence on the *LHS*.

To resolve this problem, we define a relation  $\cong$  between pairs of infinite sequences.

$$\{u_m\}_{m \geq 1} \cong \{v_m\}_{m \geq 1} \text{ if } u_m \text{ and } v_m \text{ differ in a finite initial prefix.}$$

A finite sequence  $u_1, \dots, u_r$  is modeled as an infinite sequence  $u_1, \dots, u_r, u_r, u_r, \dots$  whose last term is repeated. It is straightforward to see that  $\cong$  is an equivalence relation on the family of sequences. It now follows that

$$\{\alpha_m(s)\}_{m \geq 1} \cong \{\alpha_m(\alpha_m(s) \oplus e_i) \oplus -e_i\}_{m \geq 1} .$$

For each configuration  $s$  in the original automaton, we associate it with  $[s]_{\cong}$  as follows.

$$[s]_{\cong} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [ \{ \alpha_m(s) \}_{m \geq 1} ]_{\cong}$$

The transition function  $\oplus'$  is now defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} [s]_{\cong} \oplus e_i &= [\{\alpha(\alpha_m(s) \oplus e_i)\}_{m \geq 1}]_{\cong} \text{ and} \\ [s]_{\cong} \oplus -e_i &= [\{\alpha(\alpha_m(s) \oplus -e_i)\}_{m \geq 1}]_{\cong} \end{aligned}$$

It now follows, by repeating the arguments in the previous paragraph, that

$$[s]_{\cong} \oplus' e_i \circ -e_i = [s]_{\cong} .$$

This proves Lemma 19, with  $\alpha(s)$  defined as  $[\{\alpha_m(s)\}_{m \geq 1}]_{\cong}$ .  $\square$

The map  $s \mapsto \alpha(s)$  maps  $s$  to a congruence class over the space of consistent infinite sequences. Define  $C'_m = \{\beta(s) \mid s \in C_m\}$ . Therefore,  $|C'_m| \leq |C_m|$  for all  $m \geq 1$ .

A path reversible automaton  $A'_n$  is defined as follows. Initially  $A'_n$  is in the state  $\alpha(o)$ . After reading a stream record (one of the  $e_i$ 's or  $-e_i$ 's),  $A'_n$  uses the transition function  $\oplus'$  instead of  $\oplus$  to process its input. However,  $s \oplus' \sigma = \alpha(s \oplus \sigma)$ , where,  $\alpha(t)$  is a set (possibly infinite) of states that cause  $A_n$  to transit from configuration  $t$  on some input  $\sigma'$ , with  $\text{freq } \sigma' = 0$ . *Equivalently, this can be interpreted as if  $\sigma'$  has been inserted into the input tape just after  $A_n$  reaches the configuration  $s$  and before it processes the next symbol—hence,  $A'_n$  is an output-restriction of  $A_n$  and is equally correct for frequency-dependent computations. This is the main idea of this construction.* Thus, transitions of  $\oplus'$  are equivalent to inserting some specifically chosen strings  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots$ , each having  $\text{freq} = 0$ , after reading each letter (i.e.,  $\pm e_i$ ) of the input. The output of  $A'_n$  on input stream  $\sigma$  is identical to the output of  $A_n$  on the stream  $\sigma'$ , where,  $\sigma'$  is obtained by inserting zero frequency sub-streams into it. Therefore,  $\text{freq}(\sigma') = \text{freq}(\sigma)$  and  $A'_n$  is an output restriction of  $A_n$ . By Lemma 19, the transition function  $\oplus'$  is path reversible. Let  $C' = C(A'_n)$  and  $C'_m = C_m(A'_n)$ . Since,  $\alpha(s)$  is an equivalence class over  $C(A_n)$ , the map  $s \mapsto \alpha(s)$  implies that  $|C'_m| = |\{\alpha(s) \mid s \in C_m\}| \leq |C_m|$ . Starting from  $A'_n$ , one can construct a path independent automaton  $B_n$  as per the discussion in Section 5. The arguments in this section do not show that the transition function  $\oplus'$  can indeed be realized by a Turing machine that has only finite control. This is sufficient however, since, the path reversibility of  $\oplus'$  is only used to allow the techniques of Section 5 to be applicable, and hence to be able to construct a coset-based path independent automaton. Since any coset based automaton can be realized using finite number of states in its finite control (Lemma 4, therefore, the final path-independent transition function is actually a stream automaton  $B_n$ .) Theorem 1 summarizes this discussion.

**Theorem 1 (Basic property of computations using stream automata).** *For every stream automaton  $A_n$ , there exists a path-independent stream automaton  $B_n$  that is an output-restriction of  $A_n$  and  $\text{Space}(B_n, m) \leq \text{Space}(A_n, m) + O(\log n)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\oplus'$  be the transition function of the path-reversible automaton constructed as described above and let  $B_n$  be the path-independent automaton obtained by translating  $\oplus'$  using the procedure of Section 5. Let  $C_m$  and  $C'_m$  denote the number of reachable configurations of  $A_n$  and  $A'_n$ , respectively, over streams with frequency vector in  $[-m \dots m]^n$ . Let  $s_A = s_A(n, m)$ . Let  $M$  be the kernel of  $B_n$ . Then,

$$|Q_A| s_A 2^{s_A} \geq |C_m| \geq |C'_m| \geq |\{x + M \mid x \in [-m \dots m]^n\}| \geq (2m + 1)^{n - \dim M}$$

where, the last two inequalities follow from Lemma 17. Taking logarithms,  $\text{Space}(A_n, m) \geq \log |\{x + M \mid x \in [-m \dots m]^n\}| \geq \text{Space}(B_n, m) - O(\log n)$ , by Lemma 5.  $\square$

**Theorem 2 (Lower bound for APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ )).** Suppose that  $\frac{1}{24\sqrt{n}} \leq \epsilon < \frac{1}{32}$  and let  $A_n$  be a stream automaton that solves APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ). Then,  $\text{Space}(A_n, m) = \Omega\left(\frac{\log m}{\epsilon^2}\right) - O(\log n)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 1, there exists a path independent automaton  $B_n$  that is an output-restriction of  $A_n$  and  $\text{Space}(A_n, m) \geq \text{Space}(B_n, m) - O(\log n)$ . By Lemma 1,  $B_n$  solves APPROXFREQ( $\epsilon$ ). If  $M$  is the kernel of  $B_n$ , then by Lemma 4,  $\text{Space}(B_n) = \Omega((n - \dim M)(\log(2m + 1)))$ . By Lemma 14,  $n - \dim M = \Omega(\epsilon^{-2})$ . Thus,

$$\text{Space}(A_n, m) = \Omega((n - \dim M) \log m) - O(\log n) = \Omega\left(\frac{\log m}{\epsilon^2}\right) - O(\log n) . \quad \square$$

Since, any path-independent automaton is arbitrarily mergeable (see text before Lemma 5), Theorem 1 implies that for any stream automaton  $A_n$ , there exists an output-restricted automaton  $B_n$  such that  $\text{Space}(B_n, m) \leq \text{Space}(A_n, m) + O(\log n)$ , and the state of  $B$  is arbitrarily mergeable, establishing the claim made in Section 1.

## References

1. Noga Alon, Yossi Matias, and Mario Szegedy. “The space complexity of approximating frequency moments”. *J. Comp. Sys. and Sc.*, 58(1):137–147, 1998.
2. P. Bose, E. Kranakis, P. Morin, and Y. Tang. “Bounds for Frequency Estimation of Packet Streams”. In *Proc. SIROCCO*, pages 33–42, 2003.
3. Moses Charikar, Kevin Chen, and Martin Farach-Colton. “Finding frequent items in data streams”. In *Proc. ICALP, 2002*, pages 693–703.
4. Graham Cormode and S. Muthukrishnan. “An Improved Data Stream Summary: The Count-Min Sketch and its Applications”. *J. Algorithms*, 55(1).
5. E. D. Demaine, A. López-Ortiz, and J. I Munro. “Frequency estimation of internet packet streams with limited space”. In *Proc. ESA*, pages 348–360, 2002.
6. P. Flajolet and G.N. Martin. “Probabilistic Counting Algorithms for Database Applications”. *J. Comp. Sys. and Sc.*, 31(2):182–209, 1985.
7. S. Ganguly and Majumder A. “CR-precis: A Deterministic Summary Structure for Update Streams”. In *Proc. Int’l Symp. on Algorithms, Probabilistic and Experimental Methodologies (ESCAPE), LNCS 4614*, 2007.
8. S. Ganguly and A. Majumder. “Deterministic  $K$ -set Structure”. In *Proc. ACM PODS*, pages 280–289, 2006. Detailed version available from [www.cse.iitk.ac.in/users/sganguly](http://www.cse.iitk.ac.in/users/sganguly).
9. Sumit Ganguly. “Distributed deterministic approximation of vector sums”. Manuscript, November 2007.
10. Anna Gilbert, Y. Kotidis, S. Muthukrishnan, and Martin Strauss. “How to Summarize the Universe: Dynamic Maintenance of Quantiles”. In *Proc. VLDB*, pages 454–465, Hong Kong, August 2002.
11. R.M. Karp, S. Shenker, and C.H. Papadimitriou. “A Simple Algorithm for Finding Frequent Elements in Streams and Bags”. *ACM TODS*, 28(1):51–55, 2003.
12. J. Misra and Gries. D. “Finding repeated elements”. *Sci. Comput. Programm.*, 2:143–152, 1982.